

Revista de Estudios de Lenguas de Signos REVLES

Guidelines for Authors

It is the responsibility of the authors to provide information regarding the status of copyright, in accordance with Articles 138–143 of the Intellectual Property Law, concerning actions and procedures that may be undertaken against infringements of intellectual property rights. Authors must specify if the work comes from another original publication, when applicable, and the Editorial Team will have the authority to make the corresponding decision regarding the article.

The publication of works in *REVLES* does not require payment of administrative fees or charges for submission or delivery of articles (Article Processing Charges, APCs).

Articles are published in Spanish Sign Language (LSE), Catalan Sign Language (LSC), International Signs (IS), and written Spanish. All submissions must present original, unpublished research of high academic quality. Articles derived from larger research projects are acceptable if properly adapted to *REVLES* requirements.

Authors must submit a signed "Declaration of Originality." The journal implements measures to prevent plagiarism.

To meet international bibliographic standards, authors should limit citations from other *REVLES* issues and keep self-citations to a reasonable minimum.

Given *REVLES*'s unique nature, written manuscripts must follow the accessibility guidelines detailed in this document to ensure universal readability. Signed contributions must adhere to the journal's linguistic accessibility guidelines.

Following national sign language legislation and the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, *REVLES* recommends using terms like sign language (lengua de signos o lengua de señas), deaf person (persona sorda) and deafblind person (persona sordociega) where appropriate. Authors should reference definitions from Law 27/2007 (October 23) and Royal Decree 674/2023 (July 18), which govern Spanish Sign Language use and communication support for deaf and deafblind individuals.

To promote equal representation of all genders and reflect reality accurately, we recommend using inclusive language.

For more information and examples, consult [this link](#).

Author Rights

When submitting manuscripts for publication, authors have these rights:

1. Receipt Confirmation: Authors will receive email acknowledgment of their submissions, including manuscripts and supplementary materials.
2. Confidential Review: Authors' identities remain protected, with manuscripts shared only as needed for evaluation.
3. Detailed Decision: Authors receive a reasoned explanation of the Editorial Board's final decision, except for manuscripts rejected during preliminary screening.
4. Appeals Process: Authors may submit written complaints or claims to the *REVLES*, which will acknowledge receipt by email.

Author Obligations:

1. Follow Guidelines: Review and accept the journal's editorial policies and instructions before submission.
2. Single Submission: Do not submit manuscripts to other journals while under review at *REVLES*.
3. Copyright Compliance: Follow *REVLES*'s copyright policy.
4. Rights Transfer: Authors must transfer public communication rights of their manuscript to *REVLES* for free dissemination via the internet and any other platforms or electronic devices decided by the editor. This includes making content available online for consultation, printing on paper, downloading, and archiving under the terms and conditions outlined on *REVLES*'s website, which guarantees open and free access to publications.
5. Self-Archiving: Authors may share copies on personal websites or open-access repositories after publication, with proper citation of *REVLES*, including year, issue number, and web link.

Manuscript Acceptance

Criteria decisions regarding acceptance or rejection of submitted manuscripts are based on originality, relevance, methodological rigor and quality of presentation.

Open Access Policy

REVLES provides immediate free access to content, promoting open access to culture and scientific research for broader knowledge exchange. As an open-access journal: all content is

freely available and content is licensed under Creative Commons "Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives (by-nc-nd)," allowing free use while prohibiting commercial use and derivatives.

Disclaimer

Authors bear sole responsibility for opinions and facts in their articles. The publisher makes no claims about submitted works' credibility or authenticity.

Ethical Standards and Plagiarism Policy

REVLES adopts a neutral stance on topics analysed within its thematic scope while fostering academic discussion in sign language studies.

Regarding author obligations, *REVLES* ensures adherence to ethical principles in cases of fraud or plagiarism, which remain solely the author's responsibility.

Fraud Prevention. *REVLES* seeks to prevent scientific fraud, defined as: fabrication, falsification, or omission of data; plagiarism; duplicate publication; authorship conflicts

Plagiarism Cases. Plagiarism cases (as defined by plagiarism.org) include but are not limited to: presenting someone else's work as one's own; using words or ideas from other authors without acknowledgment, failing to use quotation marks for direct citations; providing incorrect information about citation sources, paraphrasing without attribution or excessive paraphrasing even with attribution.

Editorial Process Ethics. All individuals involved in the editorial process (authors, reviewers, editors, and journal managers) must adhere to expected ethical standards throughout all stages—from submission to publication. The Editorial Team monitors compliance with *REVLES*'s ethical standards.

Commitment to Ethical Conduct. *REVLES* adheres to ethical conduct guidelines at all stages of publication based on organisations such as The Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), which promotes standards and good practices in this field.

Ethical Expectations

Editorial Board must:

1. Clearly explain peer review processes and evaluation criteria and handle evaluation disputes
2. Keep authors informed of manuscript status and decisions

Editors and Publishers must:

1. Preserve reviewer anonymity and ensure adherence to established norms.
2. Act objectively, fairly, and without bias when evaluating submissions.
3. Evaluate articles solely based on academic merit relevant to journal objectives.
4. Avoid conflicts of interest regarding evaluated articles.
5. Maintain confidentiality for reviewers and authors until manuscript publication.
6. Address ethical complaints or conflicts through reasonable procedures aligned with journal policies.
7. To provide authors with a reasonable means to respond to claims, all claims will be investigated, and the documentation provided will be retained for review.

Reviewers must:

1. Conduct objective manuscript reviews within established deadlines to support decision-making and enhance publication quality.
2. Keep all publisher and author information confidential. Neither retain nor copy manuscripts.
3. Point out any relevant published works that should be cited in the manuscript.
4. Alert the Secretary to any previously published or submitted content substantially similar to the manuscript under review.
5. Disclose potential conflicts of interest—whether financial, institutional, or collaborative—to the Secretary. Withdraw from reviewing manuscripts where conflicts exist.

Author Responsibilities

1. Participate in peer review, maintain records of manuscript data, and provide access when requested. If approved by relevant stakeholders (employer, funding body, etc.), deposit data in an appropriate repository for future use after publication.
2. Ensure manuscripts are not simultaneously under review or previously accepted elsewhere. Properly cite any content that corresponds to published or submitted works. Provide the publisher with copies of any related manuscripts containing similar content.
3. Verify that all work is original and data is authentic. Credit all contributors appropriately. Acknowledge and properly cite reproduced content, obtaining necessary permissions for third-party materials.

4. Comply with all national, local, and institutional requirements for human subject research. Obtain required approvals, explicit participant consent, and maintain subject privacy.
5. Declare any potential conflicts of interest.
6. Promptly notify the Secretary if a significant error in the publication is discovered. Cooperate with *REVLES* to publish an erratum, addendum, correction notice, or retract the text as needed.

Procedures for Addressing Violations of Publication Ethics

Identifying Unethical Behaviour

1. Anyone may identify and report unethical behaviour to the editorial board at any time.
2. Unethical behaviour encompasses, but is not limited to, the examples previously described.
3. When reporting suspected unethical behaviour to the Secretariat, individuals must provide sufficient evidence to warrant an investigation.
4. All reports will undergo thorough examination with equal rigor until reaching a satisfactory conclusion.

Investigation

1. The editorial board will make initial decisions, consulting the Editorial Council when needed.
2. Evidence will be collected discreetly, with information shared only with necessary parties based on each case's scope and significance.

Infractions

Minor misconduct can be handled without Editorial Council involvement. Authors must always be given the opportunity to respond to accusations.

Serious Infractions

For serious misconduct, *REVLES* may need to notify the accused person's employer or organization. The editorial board, with Editorial Council agreement, will decide whether to involve these entities. They will also determine if the evidence review should be conducted internally or with expert consultation.

Consequences

1. Notify authors or reviewers of misunderstandings or misapplications of publication ethics.
2. Issue warnings to authors or reviewers regarding misconduct to prevent future violations.
3. Issue formal notifications detailing the misconduct.
4. Publish an editorial describing the misconduct.
5. Send formal letters to supervisors, departments, or funding entities of the authors or reviewers involved.
6. Formally retract publications and notify supervisors, indexing services, and readers.
7. Refer cases to professional organisations or authorities for further investigation.